



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

Allen R. Kipnes, Esquire  
WATOV & KIPNES, P.C.  
P. O. Box 247  
Princeton Junction, NJ 08550

**COPY MAILED**

APR 24 2007

**OFFICE OF PETITIONS**

In re Application of	:	DECISION ON
Liban Yusuf	:	PETITION
Application No. 09/752988	:	
Filing or 371(c) Date: 01/02/2001	:	
Attorney Docket Number: 159.1.757	:	

This is in response to the correspondence entitled "Response to Corrected Decision Dismissing Petition Under 37 C.F.R. 1.47(b) and Notice of Abandonment", filed March 27, 2007. The response is requesting treatment of the petition to revive the application filed under 37 CFR 1.137(b). The delay in treating this petition is regretted.

The petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) is **granted**.

**Background**

The above-identified application was filed January 2, 2001, without an executed oath or declaration. In response, this Office mailed a Notice to File Missing Parts of Nonprovisional Application ("Notice") on March 6, 2001, requiring, *inter alia*, a fully executed oath or declaration.

In response to the Notice, Applicant filed a petition on September 7, 2001, to allow a person to whom an inventor has assigned or agreed in writing to assign the invention, or who otherwise shows sufficient proprietary interest in the matter justifying such action, to make application on behalf of and as agent for all inventors.

The petition was dismissed in a Decision mailed December 12, 2002. Applicant was required to (1) send a copy of the application to the nonsigning inventor; (2) provide an oath or declaration signed by a person to whom the inventor had assigned the application, or who otherwise shows sufficient proprietary interest in the application, including the title or position of the person signing on behalf of the assignee; (3) proof that Oberthur Gaming and Technologies, Inc. ("Oberthur"), has sufficient proprietary interest in the application to justify the filing of the application, and (4) a statement that the filing of the invention was necessary to preserve the rights of the parties. The Decision set a two (2) month period for reply. Extensions of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a) were available.


No reply having been received, the application became abandoned February 13, 2003.

The present petition

Applicant files a petition to revive the application, and includes a grantable petition under 37 CFR 1.47(b).

In view of the above, the petition is granted. A decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.47(b) follows under separate cover.

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3232.

  
Derek L. Woods  
Attorney  
Office of Petitions